UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,716	03/07/2006	Jean-Yves Corbin	BDM-05-1828	9976
35811 7590 02/16/2011 IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER LLP (US)			EXAMINER	
ONE LIBERTY	PLACE	,	GUMBS, KEEGAN ROSS	
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto.phil@dlapiper.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Nation of Abandanas	10/561,716	CORBIN ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KEEGAN GUMBS	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offical A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the content of the period for reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on The proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on	Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on _	<u>·</u>				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.						
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). 						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. The reason(s) below:						
/Gregory L. Huson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3751						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20110210				